

# ON THE CONTACT OF QUASILINEAR OBJECTS

## IN THE REGULAR CASE

PMM Vol. 35, No. 4, 1971, pp. 569-574

E. G. AL'BREKHT

(Sverdlovsk)

(Received March 24, 1971)

We consider the game problem of the contact of the motions of controlled objects [1-6] whose behavior is described by quasilinear differential equations. Under instantaneous constraints on the values of the controls we show that in the regular case an inhibiting extremal strategy [5, 6] ensures contact no later than at the instant of absorption.

**1.** Suppose that the pursuing motion  $y [t]$  and the pursued motion  $z [t]$  of the controlled objects are described by the equations

$$y' = A^{(1)}(t) y + B^{(1)}(t) u + \lambda f^{(1)}(y, t) \quad (1.1)$$

$$z' = A^{(2)}(t) z + B^{(2)}(t) v + \lambda f^{(2)}(z, t) \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y$  and  $z$  are, respectively,  $n^{(1)}$ - and  $n^{(2)}$ -dimensional phase vectors;  $u$  and  $v$  are  $r^{(1)}$  and  $r^{(2)}$ -dimensional control vectors;  $A^{(j)}$  and  $B^{(j)}$  are matrices of appropriate dimensions;  $f^{(1)}(y, t)$  and  $f^{(2)}(z, t)$  are vector-valued functions continuously differentiable in  $t$  and twice continuously differentiable in  $y$  and  $z$  for  $y \in \Gamma_1$  and  $z \in \Gamma_2$ , where  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are some closed bounded regions;  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) is a small parameter. Constraints are imposed on the controls  $u$  and  $v$ :  $u [t] \in U$ ,  $v [t] \in V$ , where the sets  $U$  and  $V$  of vectors  $u$  and  $v$  are described by the inequalities

$$\|u [t]\| \leq \mu, \quad \|v [t]\| \leq \nu \quad (\mu, \nu = \text{const}) \quad (1.3)$$

Here and everywhere in what follows the symbol  $\|x\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm of the vector  $x$ . We shall say that a contact of the motions  $y [t]$  and  $z [t]$ , takes place at the instant  $t = \vartheta \geq t_0$  if the equality

$$\{y [\vartheta]\}_m = \{z [\vartheta]\}_m$$

is first fulfilled at  $t = \vartheta$ ; the symbol  $\{x\}_m$  denotes the vector made up of the first  $m$  components of vector  $x$ . We derive below the proof of the inhibiting extremal strategy [5, 6] in the case of the quasilinear objects (1.1) and (1.2) when  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a sufficiently small positive number.

**2.** We cite certain facts which are used subsequently. For this we shall assume that the following conditions are fulfilled.

**Condition 2.1** When  $\lambda = 0$ , the motions of systems (1.1) and (1.2), generated by all possible controls subject to constraints (1.3), with the initial conditions

$y_0 \in \Gamma_1^\circ \subset \Gamma_1$  and  $z_0 \in \Gamma_2^\circ \subset \Gamma_2$ , are wholly contained in the regions  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ .

Let  $Y^\circ(t, \tau)$  and  $Z^\circ(t, \tau)$  be the fundamental matrices of Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) when  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $u \equiv 0$ ,  $v \equiv 0$ . We denote

$$\xi_1(\tau) = \|l' \{Y^\circ(t, \tau) B^{(1)}(\tau)\}_m\|, \quad \xi_2(\tau) = \|l' \{Z^\circ(t, \tau) B^{(2)}(\tau)\}_m\| \quad (2.1)$$

Condition 2.2. Whatever be the  $m$ -dimensional unit vector  $l$  ( $\|l\| = 1$ ), the functions  $\xi_1(\tau)$ ,  $\xi_2(\tau)$  in (2.1) can vanish only at a finite number of points  $\tau_k^{(1)}$  and  $\tau_r^{(2)}$  of the interval  $[t, \theta]$ , where  $\theta$  is any finite number greater than  $t$ , and

$$\left| \frac{d\xi_1}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=\tau_k^{(1)}} \right| \geq k_1 > 0, \quad \left| \frac{d\xi_2}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=\tau_r^{(2)}} \right| \geq k_2 > 0 \quad (k_1, k_2 = \text{const})$$

Let us consider the controls  $u^\circ(\tau)$  and  $v^\circ(\tau)$  ( $t \leq \tau \leq \theta$ ), which are solutions of the problems

$$\rho^{(1)}(l, \theta, t, y, \lambda) = \max_u l' \{y(\theta; u)\}_m = l' \{y^\circ(\theta; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda)\}_m \quad (u \in U) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(l, \theta, t, z, \lambda) = \max_v l' \{z(\theta; v)\}_m = l' \{z^\circ(\theta; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda)\}_m \quad (v \in V)$$

where  $y(\tau; u)$  and  $z(\tau; v)$  are the motions of systems (1.1) and (1.2), generated by certain controls  $u(\tau)$  and  $v(\tau)$  subject to constraints (1.3), under the initial condition  $\tau = t$ ,  $y(t; u) = y$ ,  $z(t; v) = z$ , and  $l$  is an arbitrary unit vector. The motions  $y^\circ(\tau; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda)$  and  $z^\circ(\tau; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda)$ , satisfying equalities (2.2), are generated by the controls  $u^\circ(\tau)$  and  $v^\circ(\tau)$  which for each  $\tau$  from the interval  $[t, \theta]$  are determined by the maximum condition

$$l' \{Y[\theta, \tau; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(\tau)\}_m u^\circ(\tau) = \\ = \max_u l' \{Y[\theta, \tau; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(\tau)\}_m u \quad (u \in U)$$

$$l' \{Z[\theta, \tau; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda] B^{(2)}(\tau)\}_m v^\circ(\tau) = \\ = \max_v l' \{Z[\theta, \tau; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda] B^{(2)}(\tau)\}_m v \quad (v \in V)$$

where  $Y$  and  $Z$  are the fundamental matrices of the systems of equations in variations

$$d\delta y/d\tau = A^{(1)\circ}(\tau; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda) \delta y, \quad d\delta z/d\tau = A^{(2)\circ}(\tau; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda) \delta z$$

set up for Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) respectively, along the motions  $y^\circ(\tau; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda)$  and  $z^\circ(\tau; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda)$ . From the results of [7, 8] and from condition (2.2) it follows that when  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  the controls  $u^\circ(\tau)$  and  $v^\circ(\tau)$  are uniquely defined for each vector  $l$  and consequently, for each vector  $l$  there exist unique points  $y^\circ(\theta; l, \theta, t, y, \lambda)$  and  $z^\circ(\theta; l, \theta, t, z, \lambda)$  satisfying equalities (2.2).

We introduce into consideration the quantity

$$\varepsilon^\circ(l, \theta, t, y, z, \lambda) = \max_l \{ \rho^{(2)}(l, \theta, t, z, \lambda) - \\ - \rho^{(1)}(l, \theta, t, y, \lambda) \} \quad (\|l\| = 1) \quad (2.3)$$

By the definition of the functions  $\rho^{(1)}$  and  $\rho^{(2)}$  in (2.2), when  $t = \theta$  the quantity  $\varepsilon^\circ$  in (2.3) equals the distance between the points  $\{y[\theta]\}_m$  and  $\{z[\theta]\}_m$ .

Definition 2.1. We shall say that the regular case [6] obtains if for each position  $\{t, y, z\}$  for which  $0 < \varepsilon^\circ(\theta, t, y, z, \lambda) < \alpha$  ( $\alpha$  is a sufficiently small positive

number) the maximum in the right hand side of equality (2.3) is attained on a unique vector  $l^\circ = l^\circ(\vartheta, t, y, z, \lambda)$ .

Definition 2.2. The smallest value of  $\vartheta \geq t$ , for which the equality

$$\max_l \{ \rho^{(2)}[l, \vartheta, t, z, \lambda] - \rho^{(1)}[l, \vartheta, t, y, \lambda] \} = 0 \quad (\|l\| = 1) \quad (2.4)$$

is fulfilled will be called the absorption instant  $\vartheta^\circ = \vartheta^\circ(t, y, z, \lambda)$  of the process  $z[t]$  in (1.2) by the process  $y[t]$  in (1.1).

Let us now assume that on a certain interval  $[t_*, \vartheta]$  ( $\vartheta$  is fixed) the players employ admissible strategies [6]. Here, at each instant  $t \in [t_*, \vartheta]$  only those position  $\{t, y, z\}$  ( $y = y[t], z = z[t]$ ), are realized for which  $\varepsilon^\circ(\vartheta, t, y, z, \lambda) > 0$ . Let us examine the function  $\varepsilon^\circ[t] = \varepsilon^\circ(\vartheta, t, y[t], z[t], \lambda)$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 be fulfilled. Then in the regular case, when  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  the function  $\varepsilon^\circ[t]$  is absolutely continuous and for almost all  $t \in [t_*, \vartheta]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d\varepsilon^\circ[t]/dt = & \max_u \{ Y[\vartheta, t; l^\circ, \vartheta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(t) \}_m u - \\ & - l'^\circ \{ Y[\vartheta, t; l^\circ, \vartheta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(t) \}_m u[t] - \quad (u \in U) \\ & - \max_v \{ Z[\vartheta, t; l^\circ, \vartheta, t, z, \lambda] B^{(2)}(t) \}_m v + l'^\circ \{ Z[\vartheta, t; l^\circ, \vartheta, t, z, \\ & \lambda] B^{(2)}(t) \}_m v[t] \quad (v \in V) \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

3. Let us describe the construction of an approximate inhibiting extremal strategy [4-6]. Let  $\vartheta^\circ = \vartheta^\circ(t_0, y_0, z_0, \lambda)$  be the absorption instant corresponding to the initial position  $\{t_0, y_0, z_0\}$ . We divide up the interval  $[t_0, \vartheta^\circ]$  into the semi-intervals  $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \tau_0 = t_0$ ) of equal length  $\Delta = \tau_{k+1} - \tau_k$ . We shall assume that on each of these semi-intervals the control  $u_{e\Delta}$  is constant and is constructed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} [u_{e\Delta}[t] = u_\Delta[\tau_k, y_\Delta[\tau_k], z[\tau_k], \vartheta_\Delta[\tau_k], \lambda] = u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k] \\ (\tau_k \leq t < \tau_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vartheta_\Delta[t]$  is some auxiliary variable which also is taken as being constant on each semi-interval  $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ , i. e.,

$$\vartheta_\Delta[t] = \vartheta_\Delta[\tau_k] \quad (\tau_k \leq t < \tau_{k+1})$$

Here the values of  $\vartheta_\Delta[\tau_k]$  are determined as follows. When  $t = t_0$  we assume that  $\vartheta_\Delta[t_0] = \vartheta^\circ$ . Suppose that the position  $\{\tau_k, y_\Delta[\tau_k], z[\tau_k]\}$  is realized at  $t = \tau_k$  and that this position corresponds to the absorption instant  $\vartheta_{\Delta k}^\circ = \vartheta^\circ(\tau_k, y_\Delta[\tau_k], z[\tau_k], \lambda)$ . For  $\tau_k \leq t < \tau_{k+1}$  we shall define the quantity  $\vartheta_\Delta[t]$  thus

$$\vartheta_\Delta[t] = \begin{cases} \vartheta_{\Delta k}^\circ & \text{for } \vartheta_{\Delta k}^\circ \leq \vartheta_\Delta[\tau_{k-1}] \\ \vartheta_\Delta[\tau_{k-1}] & \text{for } \vartheta_{\Delta k}^\circ > \vartheta_\Delta[\tau_{k-1}] \end{cases}$$

We now describe a method for choosing the values of  $u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k]$ . If  $\vartheta_\Delta[\tau_k] = \vartheta_{\Delta k}^\circ$ , then we assume

$$u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k] \in U \quad (3.1)$$

i. e.  $u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k]$  is any value of the control  $u$  from the set  $U$ . If, however  $\vartheta_\Delta[\tau_k] <$

$\leq \vartheta_{\Delta k}$ , then

$$\text{for } \|l_{\Delta k}^{\circ\circ} \{YB^{(1)}\}_m\| \neq 0 \tag{3.2}$$

$$u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k] = \mu \frac{(l_{\Delta k}^{\circ\circ} \{Y[\vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}], \tau_k; l_{\Delta k}^{\circ}, \vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}], \tau_k, y_{\Delta}[\tau_k], \lambda] B^{(1)}(\tau_k)_{l_m}\})^{\circ}}{\|l_{\Delta k}^{\circ\circ} \{Y[\vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}], \tau_k; l_{\Delta}^{\circ}, \vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}], \tau_k, y_{\Delta}[\tau_k], \lambda] B^{(1)}(\tau_k)_{l_m}\}^{\circ}\|}$$

$$\text{for } \|l_{\Delta k}^{\circ} \{YB^{(1)}\}_m\| = 0, u_{e\Delta}[\tau_k] \in U \tag{3.3}$$

Here  $l_{\Delta k}^{\circ} = l^{\circ}(\vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}], \tau_k, y_{\Delta}[\tau_k], z[\tau_k], \lambda)$  is the vector on which the maximum is attained in the right hand side of equality (2.3) when  $t = \tau_k$  and  $\vartheta = \vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}]$ .

The following assertion is valid,

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 are fulfilled and that the regular case holds, then when  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ , for any arbitrarily small number  $\eta > 0$  we can find a number  $\Delta^{\circ} > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \Delta \leq \Delta^{\circ}$ , when the pursuer has chosen an approximate inhibiting extremal strategy and the pursued has chosen any admissible strategy, we can find an instant  $\vartheta^* \leq \vartheta^{\circ}(t_0, y_0, z_0, \lambda)$  at which the inequality

$$\| \{y_{\Delta}[\vartheta^*]\}_m - \{z[\vartheta^*]\}_m \| \leq \eta$$

is fulfilled if only the initial position  $\{t_0, y_0, z_0\}$  ( $y_0 \in \Gamma_1^{\circ}, z_0 \in \Gamma_2^{\circ}$ ) is such that the absorption instant  $\vartheta^{\circ}$  exists.

*Proof.* At first we assume that a position  $\{\tau_k, y_{\Delta}[\tau_k], z[\tau_k]\}$  is realized at  $t = \tau_k$  such that  $\vartheta_{\Delta k}^{\circ}[\tau_k] = \vartheta_{\Delta k}^{\circ}$ , then by definition,  $e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\tau_k] = 0$ . From the continuity of the functions  $\rho^{(1)}$  and  $\rho^{(2)}$  in (2.2) it follows that the inequality

$$e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\tau_{k+1}] \leq \delta(\Delta) \quad (y_{\Delta}[\tau_k] \in \Gamma_1, z[\tau_k] \in \Gamma_2)$$

is valid for  $t = \tau_{k+1}$ , where  $\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \delta(\Delta) = 0$  uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ .

We now assume that when  $t = \tau_k$  a position is realized such that  $\vartheta_{\Delta k}^{\circ} > \vartheta_{\Delta}[\tau_{k-1}]$ , then  $e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\tau_k] > 0$ . From (2.5) and (3.2) - (3.3) we get that

$$e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\tau_{k+1}] - e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\tau_k] \leq o(\Delta)$$

Here

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(\Delta)}{\Delta} = 0 \quad (y_{\Delta}[\tau_k] \in \Gamma_1, z[\tau_k] \in \Gamma_2)$$

uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ . Consequently, the inequality

$$e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\vartheta^{\circ}] \leq \frac{\vartheta^{\circ} - t_0}{\Delta} o(\Delta) + \delta(\Delta) \tag{3.4}$$

is valid. From (3.4) we obtain that for any arbitrarily small number  $\eta > 0$  we can find a number  $\Delta^{\circ} > 0$  such that the inequality

$$e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\vartheta^{\circ}] < \eta \tag{3.5}$$

is fulfilled for all  $0 < \Delta \leq \Delta^{\circ}$ . But when  $t = \vartheta^{\circ}$  the quantity  $e_{\Delta}^{\circ}[\vartheta^{\circ}]$  is, by definition, the distance between the points  $\{y_{\Delta}[\vartheta^{\circ}]\}_m$  and  $\{z[\vartheta^{\circ}]\}_m$ .

Therefore, the validity of the theorem's assertion follows from (3.5).

4. The discussions of the preceding Section permit us to give the following formal definition of an inhibiting extremal strategy  $U_e^*$  [5, 6]. Let us consider the  $(n^{(1)} + \dots + n^{(r)} + 1)$ -dimensional phase space  $W$  consisting of the elements  $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$ , where  $\vartheta$  is a scalar variable and  $\vartheta \geq 0$ . We separate the space  $W$  into the parts  $W_0$  and  $W_c$ . The set  $W_0$  is the collection of those and only those points  $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$  for which  $\vartheta \geq \vartheta^0(t, y, z, \lambda)$ , while  $W_c$ , to the contrary, is the collection of those points  $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$  for which  $\vartheta < \vartheta^0(t, y, z, \lambda)$ . We define the strategy  $U_e^*$  as the sets  $U_e(t, y, z, \vartheta, \lambda)$  depending on the variables  $t, y, z, \vartheta, \lambda$  in the following manner:

$$U_e(t, y, z, \vartheta, \lambda) = U, \quad \text{if } \{y, z, \vartheta\} \in W_0$$

$$U_e(t, y, z, \vartheta, \lambda) = U_e^{(t)}, \quad \text{if } \{y, z, \vartheta\} \in W_c$$

Moreover, the set  $U_e^{(t)}(t, y, z, \vartheta, \lambda)$  is the collection of all those vectors  $u_e[t]$  which satisfy the maximum condition

$$l^{0'} \{Y[\vartheta, t; l^0, \vartheta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(t)\}_m u_e[t] =$$

$$= \max_u l^{0'} \{Y[\vartheta, t; l^0, \vartheta, t, y, \lambda] B^{(1)}(t)\}_m u \quad (u \in U)$$

where  $l^0$  is the vector on which the maximum in the right hand side of equality (2.3) is reached. Here, at the instant  $t$  when  $\|l^{0'} \{Y B^{(1)}\}_m\| \neq 0$  the sets  $U_e^{(t)}$  consist of the single point

$$u_e[t] = \mu \frac{(l^{0'} \{Y B^{(1)}\}_m)'}{\|l^{0'} \{Y B^{(1)}\}_m\|}$$

whereas at the instant  $t$  when  $\|l^{0'} \{Y B^{(1)}\}_m\| = 0$  we assume that  $U_e^{(t)} = U$ .

Let us now augment the system (1.1) and (1.2) by relations which define the change in the variable  $\vartheta[t]$  with the course of time  $t$ . We shall take it [5, 6] that the function  $\vartheta[t]$  is right-continuous and satisfies the conditions

$$(d\vartheta/dt)^+ \leq 0, \quad \text{if } \{y, z, \vartheta\} \in W_0$$

$$d\vartheta/dt = 0, \quad \text{if } \{y, z, \vartheta\} \in W_c$$
(4.1)

The symbol  $(d\vartheta/dt)^+$  denotes the right upper derivative.

As the discrete scheme described in Sect. 3 goes to the limit as  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ , we can show [5-9] that the inhibiting extremal strategy  $U_e^*$  ensures the existence of the solution  $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]\}$  of Eqs. (1.1), (1.2), (4.1), satisfying the initial condition

$$\{y[t_0], z[t_0], \vartheta[t_0]\} \in W_0 \quad (\vartheta[t_0] = \vartheta^0)$$

and, for all  $t \geq t_0$  until contact is effected, contained in the set  $W_0$ . Consequently, the following assertion is valid.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 are fulfilled and that the regular case obtains, then when  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  the inhibiting extremal strategy  $U_e^*$  ensures the contact of the motions  $y[t]$  and  $z[t]$  not later than at the absorption instant  $\vartheta^0 : z[\vartheta(t_0, y[t_0], z[t_0], \lambda)]$  no matter what the admissible realization  $v[t]$  of control  $v$  is, if only the initial position  $\{t_0, y[t_0], z[t_0]\}$  ( $y[t_0] \in \Gamma_1^0, z[t_0] \in \Gamma_2^0$ ) is

such that the absorption instant exists.

Note 4.1. All the preceding assertions easily carry over to a more general case of the game problem of the contact of quasilinear objects. Let  $P'$  be a given convex, closed and bounded set consisting of  $m$ -dimensional vectors  $p$ . We say [6] that the point  $\{z [t]\}_m$  is contained in the region of influence  $M(\{y [t]\}_m)$  of the point  $\{y [t]\}_m$  if and only if the vector  $p = \{z [t]\}_m - \{y [t]\}_m$  is contained in set  $P'$ . The instant  $t = \theta \geq t_0$  when the point  $\{z [\theta]\}_m$  first falls into the region of influence  $M(\{y [\theta]\}_m)$  of the point  $\{y [\theta]\}_m$  is called the instant of contact of the motions  $y [t]$  of (1.1) and  $z [t]$  of (1.2). In the case of such a pursuit problem (when Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 are fulfilled) we can also make statements similar to Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

The author thanks N. N. Krasovskii for discussions on the work and for valuable advice.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Isaacs, R., *Differential Games*, Moscow, "Mir", 1967.
2. Pontriagin, L. S., On the theory of differential games, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* Vol. 21, №4, 1966.
3. Pshenichnyi, B. N., On a pursuit problem, *Kibernetika*, №6, 1967.
4. Krasovskii, N. N. and Subbotin, A. I., Problem of the encounter of controlled objects, *PMM* Vol. 32, №4, 1968.
5. Krasovskii, N. N., On the game problem of contact of motions, *PMM* Vol. 32, №5, 1968.
6. Krasovskii, N. N., *Game Problems of Contact of Motions*, Moscow, "Nauka", 1970.
7. Al'brekht, E. G., On the encounter of quasilinear objects, *PMM* Vol. 34, №4, 1970.
8. Al'brekht, E. G., On optimal control in the motion of quasilinear systems, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniia*, Vol. 5, №3, 1969.
9. Filippov, A. F., Differential equations with a discontinuous right-hand side, *Mat. Sb.* Vol. 51 (93), №1, 1960.

Translated by N. H. C.